

# Embedding the diamond graph in $L_p$ and dimension reduction in $L_1$

James R. Lee\*  
U.C. Berkeley

Assaf Naor  
Microsoft Research

## Abstract

We show that any embedding of the level  $k$  diamond graph of Newman and Rabinovich [6] into  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ , requires distortion at least  $\sqrt{k(p-1)+1}$ . An immediate corollary is that there exist arbitrarily large  $n$ -point sets  $X \subseteq L_1$  such that any  $D$ -embedding of  $X$  into  $\ell_1^d$  requires  $d \geq n^{\Omega(1/D^2)}$ . This gives a simple proof of a recent result of Brinkman and Charikar [2] which settles the long standing question of whether there is an  $L_1$  analogue of the Johnson-Lindenstrauss dimension reduction lemma [4].

## 1 The diamond graphs, distortion, and dimension

We recall the definition of the diamond graphs  $\{G_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  whose shortest path metrics are known to be uniformly bi-lipschitz equivalent to a subset of  $L_1$  (see [3] for the  $L_1$  embeddability of general series-parallel graphs). The diamond graphs were used in [6] to obtain lower bounds for the Euclidean distortion of planar graphs and similar arguments were previously used in a different context by Laakso [5].

$G_0$  consists of a single edge of length 1.  $G_i$  is obtained from  $G_{i-1}$  as follows. Given an edge  $(u, v) \in E(G_{i-1})$ , it is replaced by a quadrilateral  $u, a, v, b$  with edge lengths  $2^{-i}$ . In what follows,  $(u, v)$  is called an edge of level  $i-1$ , and  $(a, b)$  is called the level  $i$  anti-edge corresponding to  $(u, v)$ . Our main result is a lower bound on the distortion necessary to embed  $G_k$  into  $L_p$ , for  $1 < p \leq 2$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every  $1 < p \leq 2$ , any embedding of  $G_k$  into  $L_p$  incurs distortion at least  $\sqrt{1 + (p-1)k}$ .*

The following corollary shows that the diamond graphs cannot be well-embedded into low-dimensional  $\ell_1$  spaces. In particular, an  $L_1$  analogue of the Johnson-Lindenstrauss dimension reduction lemma does not exist. The same graphs were used in [2] as an example which shows the impossibility of dimension reduction in  $L_1$ . Our proof is different and, unlike the linear programming based argument appearing there, relies on geometric intuition. We proceed by observing that a lower bound on the rate of decay of the distortion as  $p \rightarrow 1$  yields a lower bound on the required dimension in  $\ell_1$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an  $n$ -point subset  $X \subseteq L_1$  such that for every  $D > 1$ , if  $X$   $D$ -embeds into  $\ell_1^d$ , then  $d \geq n^{\Omega(1/D^2)}$ .*

---

\*Work partially supported by NSF grant CCR-0121555 and an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship.

*Proof.* Since  $\ell_1^d$  is  $O(1)$ -isomorphic to  $\ell_p^d$  when  $p = 1 + \frac{1}{\log d}$  and  $G_k$  is  $O(1)$ -equivalent to a subset  $X \subseteq L_1$ , it follows that  $\sqrt{1 + \frac{k}{\log d}} = O(D)$ . Noting that  $k = \Omega(\log n)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2 Proof

The proof is based on the following inequality. The case  $p = 2$  is the well known “short diagonals lemma” which was central to the argument in [5, 6].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Fix  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $x, y, z, w \in L_p$ . Then,*

$$\|y - z\|_p^2 + (p - 1)\|x - w\|_p^2 \leq \|x - y\|_p^2 + \|y - w\|_p^2 + \|w - z\|_p^2 + \|z - x\|_p^2.$$

*Proof.* For every  $a, b \in L_p$ ,  $\|a + b\|_p^2 + (p - 1)\|a - b\|_p^2 \leq 2(\|a\|_p^2 + \|b\|_p^2)$ . A simple proof of this classical fact can be found, for example, in [1]. Now,

$$\|y - z\|_p^2 + (p - 1)\|y - 2x + z\|_p^2 \leq 2\|y - x\|_p^2 + 2\|x - z\|_p^2$$

and

$$\|y - z\|_p^2 + (p - 1)\|y - 2w + z\|_p^2 \leq 2\|y - w\|_p^2 + 2\|w - z\|_p^2.$$

Averaging these two inequalities yields

$$\|y - z\|_p^2 + (p - 1) \frac{\|y - 2x + z\|_p^2 + \|y - 2w + z\|_p^2}{2} \leq \|x - y\|_p^2 + \|y - w\|_p^2 + \|w - z\|_p^2 + \|z - x\|_p^2.$$

The required inequality follows by convexity.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A_i$  denote the set of anti-edges at level  $i$  and let  $\{s, t\} = V(G_0)$ , then for  $1 < p \leq 2$  and any  $f : G_k \rightarrow L_p$ ,*

$$\|f(s) - f(t)\|_p^2 + (p - 1) \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{(x,y) \in A_i} \|f(x) - f(y)\|_p^2 \leq \sum_{(x,y) \in E(G_k)} \|f(x) - f(y)\|_p^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(a, b)$  be an edge of level  $i$  and  $(c, d)$  its corresponding anti-edge. By Lemma 2.1,  $\|f(a) - f(b)\|_p^2 + (p - 1)\|f(c) - f(d)\|_p^2 \leq \|f(a) - f(c)\|_p^2 + \|f(b) - f(c)\|_p^2 + \|f(d) - f(a)\|_p^2 + \|f(d) - f(b)\|_p^2$ . Summing over all such edges and all  $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$  yields the desired result by noting that the terms  $\|f(x) - f(y)\|_p^2$  corresponding to  $(x, y) \in E(G_i)$  cancel for  $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$ .  $\square$

The main theorem now follows easily.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $f : G_k \rightarrow L_p$  be a non-expansive  $D$ -embedding. Since  $|A_i| = 4^{i-1}$  and the length of a level  $i$  anti-edge is  $2^{1-i}$ , applying Lemma 2.2 yields  $\frac{1+(p-1)k}{D^2} \leq 1$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] K. Ball, E. A. Carlen and E. Lieb. *Sharp uniform convexity and smoothness inequalities for trace norms*. Invent. Math. 115, 463-482 (1994).
- [2] B. Brinkman and M. Charikar. *On the Impossibility of Dimension Reduction in  $\ell_1$* . In Proceedings of the 44th Annual IEEE Conference on Foundations of Computer Science. ACM, 2003.
- [3] A. Gupta, I. Newman, Y. Rabinovich and A. Sinclair. *Cuts, trees and  $\ell_1$  embeddings*. In Proceedings of the 40th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science. ACM, 1999.
- [4] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss. *Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space*. In *Conference in modern analysis and probability (New Haven, Conn., 1982)*, pages 189-206. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984.
- [5] T. J. Laakso. *Ahlfors  $Q$ -regular spaces with arbitrary  $Q > 1$  admitting weak Poincaré inequality*. Geom. Funct. Anal., 10(1):111-123, 2000.
- [6] I. Newman and Y. Rabinovich. *A Lower Bound on the Distortion of Embedding Planar Metrics into Euclidean Space*. Discrete Computational Geometry, 29 no. 1, 77-81 (2003).